

Mental Resilience in Banking: Maintaining Cognitive Balance in High-Stress Financial Decisions

By Nancy Evelyn Korkor Oheneba-Dornyo

Abstract

Banking professionals routinely make consequential decisions under conditions of uncertainty, time pressure, regulatory scrutiny and demanding performance expectations. These pressures can affect attention, emotional regulation, risk perception and professional judgement. This article examines the importance of mental resilience and cognitive balance within Ghana's evolving banking sector. It argues that resilience should not be understood merely as an individual's capacity to endure pressure, but as a shared responsibility involving employees, managers and financial institutions. Practical strategies—including stress management, continuous learning, psychological safety, personal boundaries and structured decision safeguards—are discussed. The article concludes that protecting the mental wellbeing of banking professionals is essential to sound decision-making, ethical conduct, institutional stability and public confidence in Ghana's financial system.

Keywords: mental resilience, banking, cognitive balance, occupational stress, financial decision-making, Ghana

Introduction

Banking is often perceived as a numbers game—figures on screens, reports, projections, targets and balance sheets. Yet behind every loan approval, treasury trade, risk assessment and customer decision is a human mind working under pressure. Financial decisions are not made by systems alone; they are interpreted, evaluated and authorised by people whose judgement may be influenced by stress, fatigue, emotions and workplace conditions.

In Ghana's fast-evolving financial sector, banking professionals are required to think clearly and act decisively in an environment shaped by regulatory reforms, economic uncertainty, digital transformation and intense performance expectations. The Bank of Ghana's reforms following the financial-sector clean-up introduced stronger governance and supervisory requirements, while more recent policy discussions have emphasised technology, ethical conduct and professional capacity-building within the sector (Bank of Ghana, 2018, 2020, 2024).

Mental resilience has therefore become more than a desirable personal quality. It is a professional necessity with implications for sound judgement, ethical conduct, operational risk and institutional integrity.

The Reality of Stress in Ghana's Banking Sector

Ghana's banking industry has experienced considerable structural and regulatory change. The clean-up and recapitalisation exercises, enhanced corporate-governance requirements, growing

competition, digitalisation and the expansion of financial technology have required professionals to adapt continuously (Bank of Ghana, 2018, 2020).

These developments have strengthened many aspects of the financial system, but they have also increased the cognitive and emotional demands placed on banking professionals. Relationship managers must balance ambitious deposit and sales targets with client expectations. Credit officers must reconcile business-growth pressures with responsible risk assessment. Operations and compliance teams work against strict deadlines, knowing that a single oversight may result in financial loss, regulatory sanctions or reputational damage. Senior executives make decisions capable of affecting employees, customers, shareholders and entire communities.

Research among bank tellers in Ghana found that occupational stress was associated with job dissatisfaction and potentially counterproductive workplace outcomes. The authors consequently recommended stress-management and emotional-intelligence training for banking employees (Dartey-Baah et al., 2020). A broader review of occupational stress in banking similarly identified high job demands, inadequate control, low social support, effort–reward imbalance and organisational restructuring as important sources of distress and burnout, although much of the available evidence was cross-sectional and should therefore be interpreted cautiously (Giorgi et al., 2017).

Sustained occupational stress can quietly undermine concentration, working memory, decision-making and emotional stability. A systematic review of work-related factors and cognitive functioning concluded that occupational stress—and probably prolonged working hours—can negatively affect cognitive performance (Bufano et al., 2024). This is particularly concerning in banking, where even a minor cognitive error may have significant consequences.

Why Mental Resilience Matters in Financial Decision-Making

Mental resilience may be understood as the capacity to adapt constructively, maintain effective functioning and recover after adversity or sustained pressure. It does not mean ignoring exhaustion, suppressing emotions or accepting unhealthy working conditions. Rather, it involves recognising pressure, regulating one’s response and drawing upon appropriate personal, social and organisational resources.

Research shows that stress can influence how people evaluate rewards, process information, learn from feedback and make decisions involving risk. However, the direction and degree of this influence may vary according to the nature of the stressor, the individual and the decision being made (Porcelli & Delgado, 2017; Starcke & Brand, 2012). Intense or chronic stress may also produce attentional tunnelling, in which the individual becomes narrowly focused on the immediate threat and loses the cognitive flexibility required to consider alternative explanations or long-term consequences (Palamarchuk & Vaillancourt, 2021).

A resilient banking professional is better positioned to pause, evaluate available evidence, consult appropriately and make a balanced decision without allowing fear, frustration, urgency or external pressure to dominate the process. Workplace resilience training can produce positive, although generally modest, improvements in resilience and wellbeing, demonstrating that resilience can be

strengthened through deliberate development rather than being treated solely as an inborn personality trait (Vanhove et al., 2016).

Cognitive Balance: The Banker's Hidden Advantage

In this article, *cognitive balance* is used as a practical rather than diagnostic term. It refers to the ability to integrate rational analysis, emotional awareness, ethical reflection and professional judgement.

Cognitive balance does not require bankers to remove emotion entirely from decision-making. Emotions often provide useful information about perceived danger, uncertainty or conflict. The challenge is to recognise those emotions without allowing them to override reliable evidence, institutional policy or professional ethics.

During periods of inflation, currency volatility or market uncertainty, for example, banking professionals may feel compelled to act hastily to protect portfolios or meet institutional targets. Cognitive balance creates space to ask important questions:

- What does the available evidence actually show?
- Which assumptions are influencing this decision?
- Am I responding to verified risk or to personal fear?
- Have alternative options been adequately considered?
- Does this decision comply with policy, regulation and professional ethics?
- Would independent review strengthen the decision?

Overconfidence must also be monitored. A meta-analysis found a statistically significant, although relatively small, relationship between overconfidence and financial decision-making (Grežo, 2021). This means that experience and professional confidence are valuable, but they should never replace verification, documentation and appropriate peer review.

In Ghana, as in many relationship-oriented business environments, personal and professional networks may sometimes overlap. A banker may be required to assess an application involving a friend, relative, church member, community leader or long-standing client. Such situations require emotional intelligence, transparent disclosure of conflicts of interest and strict adherence to institutional procedures. Personal loyalty should never be allowed to compromise professional responsibility.

Building Mental Resilience in Practice

1. Structured Stress Management

Simple and consistent stress-management practices can reduce accumulated fatigue. These may include brief restorative breaks, slow controlled breathing, realistic workload planning and protected periods for complex decision-making.

A meta-analysis found that short work breaks increased vigour and reduced fatigue, although their effects on overall work performance were less consistent, particularly for highly demanding cognitive tasks (Albulescu et al., 2022). Slow-breathing practices have also been associated with improved autonomic regulation, relaxation and emotional control (Zaccaro et al., 2018).

These practices should not be used to justify excessive workloads. Banks must also review staffing levels, working hours, unrealistic targets and cultures in which every task is treated as an emergency.

2. Continuous Learning and Professional Confidence

Uncertainty often increases stress. Regular training in credit analysis, risk management, cybersecurity, regulatory compliance, ethics and emerging financial technologies can strengthen professional competence.

Employees who understand their responsibilities and feel appropriately prepared are more likely to evaluate difficult situations calmly. Training should therefore include not only technical knowledge but also scenario-based exercises, ethical decision-making, communication skills and stress management.

3. Supportive Leadership and Psychological Safety

Leadership plays a central role in creating resilient workplaces. Managers who encourage questions, respond constructively to mistakes and allow employees to raise concerns without fear help create psychological safety.

Psychological safety is associated with information-sharing, learning behaviour, employee voice and improved team functioning (Frazier et al., 2017). In banking, this may enable an employee to report a suspicious transaction, question an unrealistic target, admit uncertainty or request a second review before an error becomes a crisis.

Supportive leadership does not mean lowering professional standards. It means creating an environment in which problems can be identified and corrected early.

4. Personal Boundaries and Wellbeing

Banking professionals must also protect their wellbeing outside the workplace. Adequate sleep, physical activity, supportive relationships, recreation and time away from work help restore psychological resources.

For many Ghanaian professionals, family relationships, community participation and religious faith also provide meaning, hope and emotional support. These resources can strengthen coping, but they should complement rather than replace professional counselling or mental-health treatment when such assistance is required.

Employees should be encouraged to seek support before distress becomes severe. Accessing counselling is not an admission of weakness; it is a responsible step towards protecting one's health, family, career and professional judgement.

5. Decision Safeguards

Banks can reduce stress-related errors by embedding safeguards into important decisions. These may include checklists, mandatory second-level reviews, documented assumptions, conflict-of-interest declarations and short cooling-off periods before high-risk approvals.

These procedures recognise a fundamental truth: even experienced professionals are vulnerable to fatigue, emotional pressure and cognitive bias. Sound systems support good people in making better decisions.

Institutional Responsibility and the Way Forward

Mental resilience should not be treated solely as the personal responsibility of employees. Financial institutions influence wellbeing through workload design, leadership practices, organisational culture, staffing, reward systems and access to support.

The World Health Organization recommends organisational interventions, manager training, employee mental-health education and appropriate individual support as part of a comprehensive approach to mental health at work (World Health Organization, 2022). Evidence also indicates that resources at the individual, team, leadership and organisational levels are associated with both employee wellbeing and work performance (Nielsen et al., 2017).

Banks should therefore consider:

- confidential employee-assistance and counselling services;
- periodic assessment of psychosocial workplace risks;
- mental-health and emotional-intelligence training for managers;
- reasonable workload and working-hour policies;
- clear escalation and peer-review procedures;
- protection for employees who report concerns or errors;
- access to professional referral pathways; and
- regular evaluation of staff-wellbeing programmes.

Employee wellbeing is relevant to operational risk, ethical conduct and institutional performance. A mentally exhausted employee may overlook warning signs, exercise poor judgement or disengage from responsibility. Supporting mental health is therefore not merely an act of compassion; it is an essential component of responsible banking.

Conclusion

Banking will always involve pressure. High-stakes decisions, demanding customers, regulatory obligations and economic uncertainty are part of the profession. However, the ability to maintain

cognitive and emotional balance under pressure distinguishes sustainable professional performance from potentially costly mistakes.

In Ghana's banking environment, mental resilience is no longer optional. It is a quiet but powerful strength that enables professionals to think clearly, act ethically, seek support and recover from setbacks. When financial institutions combine strong regulations and technology with psychologically healthy workplaces, they protect not only their employees but also their customers, shareholders and the wider financial system.

The future of trustworthy banking will depend not only on the strength of its capital, systems and regulations, but also on the wellbeing and sound judgement of the human beings entrusted with its decisions.

References

Albulescu, P., Macsinga, I., Rusu, A., Sulea, C., Bodnaru, A., & Tulbure, B. T. (2022). "Give me a break!" A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of micro-breaks for increasing well-being and performance. *PLOS ONE*, 17(8), e0272460. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272460>

Bank of Ghana. (2018, December 27). *Corporate governance directive 2018*. <https://www.bog.gov.gh/notice/corporate-governance-directive-2018/>

Bank of Ghana. (2020). *Governor's address at the 2020 annual dinner of the Chartered Institute of Bankers, Ghana*. <https://www.bog.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Governors-Address-2020-Annual-Dinner-of-the-Chartered-Institute-of-Bankers-Ghana.pdf>

Bank of Ghana. (2024, November 21). *Governor's speech at the 28th annual national banking and ethics conference*. <https://www.bog.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/GOVERNORS-SPEECH-28TH-ANNUAL-NATIONAL-BANKING-AND-ETHICS-CONFERENCE-211124.pdf>

Bufano, P., Di Tecco, C., Fattori, A., Barnini, T., Comotti, A., Ciocan, C., Ferrari, L., Mastorci, F., Laurino, M., & Bonzini, M. (2024). The effects of work on cognitive functions: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1351625. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1351625>

Dartey-Baah, K., Quartey, S. H., & Osafo, G. A. (2020). Examining occupational stress, job satisfaction and gender difference among bank tellers: Evidence from Ghana. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 69(7), 1437–1454. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-07-2019-0323>

Frazier, M. L., Fainshmidt, S., Klinger, R. L., Pezeshkan, A., & Vracheva, V. (2017). Psychological safety: A meta-analytic review and extension. *Personnel Psychology*, 70(1), 113–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12183>

Giorgi, G., Arcangeli, G., Perminiene, M., Lorini, C., Ariza-Montes, A., Fiz-Perez, J., Di Fabio, A., & Mucci, N. (2017). Work-related stress in the banking sector: A review of incidence, correlated factors, and major consequences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2166. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02166>

Grežo, M. (2021). Overconfidence and financial decision-making: A meta-analysis. *Review of Behavioral Finance*, 13(3), 276–296. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RBF-01-2020-0020>

Nielsen, K., Nielsen, M. B., Ogbonnaya, C., Käsälä, M., Saari, E., & Isaksson, K. (2017). Workplace resources to improve both employee well-being and performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Work & Stress*, 31(2), 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2017.1304463>

Palamarchuk, I. S., & Vaillancourt, T. (2021). Mental resilience and coping with stress: A comprehensive, multi-level model of cognitive processing, decision making, and behavior. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 15, 719674. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.719674>

Porcelli, A. J., & Delgado, M. R. (2017). Stress and decision making: Effects on valuation, learning, and risk-taking. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 14, 33–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.11.015>

Starcke, K., & Brand, M. (2012). Decision making under stress: A selective review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(4), 1228–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.02.003>

Vanhove, A. J., Herian, M. N., Perez, A. L. U., Harms, P. D., & Lester, P. B. (2016). Can resilience be developed at work? A meta-analytic review of resilience-building programme effectiveness. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 89(2), 278–307. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12123>

World Health Organization. (2022). *WHO guidelines on mental health at work*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052>

Zaccaro, A., Piarulli, A., Laurino, M., Garbella, E., Menicucci, D., Neri, B., & Gemignani, A. (2018). How breath-control can change your life: A systematic review on psycho-physiological correlates of slow breathing. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 12, 353. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00353>