

Bouncing Back After Divorce: Healing, Growth, and Resilience in the Ghanaian Context

Oheneba-Dornyo, S.

Abstract

Divorce is one of the most painful life transitions a person can experience, affecting emotional stability, identity, spiritual confidence, family relationships, and economic well-being. In the Ghanaian context, this pain is often intensified by strong cultural expectations surrounding marriage, communal involvement in family life, and the stigma attached to marital breakdown (Nukunya, 2003; Oppong, 1974; Takyi, 2001). This article examines divorce not merely as a legal or relational ending, but as a deeply human and pastoral crisis that calls for compassionate care, psychological insight, and culturally grounded support. Drawing from the author's years of pastoral counselling experience with individuals and families affected by divorce, alongside relevant psychological literature and faith-informed reflection, the article explores the emotional aftermath of divorce, identity reconstruction, financial recovery, forgiveness, spiritual resilience, and the task of moving forward with renewed purpose. It argues that although divorce is deeply painful, it does not have to define a person's worth, faith, or future. With honest grief work, supportive relationships, practical rebuilding, and spiritually sensitive care, divorced persons can recover from marital loss and rebuild meaningful lives (Amato, 2010; Bonanno, 2004; Koenig, 2012). The article also outlines implications for pastors, counsellors, families, and churches in responding to divorced persons with greater grace, wisdom, and restorative care rather than stigma or exclusion.

Keywords: divorce recovery, Ghanaian context, emotional healing, resilience, pastoral counselling, post-divorce growth

Introduction

Over the years, as a pastoral counsellor, I have sat with many men and women whose lives were shaken by divorce. Some entered the counselling room in tears, unable to speak freely because the pain was too fresh. Others arrived with composed faces and controlled voices, yet beneath that restraint lay grief, shame, anger, confusion, and disappointment. I have listened to people who felt betrayed, people who blamed themselves, people who feared what their families, churches, and communities would say, and people who quietly wondered whether life could ever feel whole again.

These experiences have taught me that divorce is never merely a legal event or a social change in status. It is a deeply human rupture that affects the heart, the mind, the spirit, the family, and a person's sense of future. In Ghana, where marriage carries communal, moral, and spiritual significance, divorce is often experienced not only as personal loss but also as public pain. It can leave individuals feeling exposed, judged, and misunderstood. For many, the wound is made heavier by the silence surrounding it.

In many societies, including Ghana, marriage is rightly honoured as a sacred and socially meaningful institution. It is often understood not simply as the joining of two individuals, but also as the joining of families, histories, hopes, and responsibilities. Because of this, divorce is rarely seen as a private separation alone. It is often felt as a public disruption and, for many, a deeply personal wound. Women may carry a heavier burden of shame and blame, while men are often expected to conceal their emotional pain and maintain the appearance of strength. In church settings, divorce may also trigger spiritual confusion, guilt, and a lingering sense of disqualification.

Yet pastoral experience also shows that divorce, painful as it is, does not have to mark the end of a person's dignity, usefulness, faith, or future. I have seen people who once felt broken begin, slowly and painfully, to rediscover themselves. I have seen men learn to grieve rather than hide behind silence. I have seen women rebuild confidence after seasons of rejection and humiliation. I have seen divorced persons recover their voice, deepen their faith, regain emotional stability, and begin again with wisdom and courage.

Psychological research supports what pastoral experience often confirms: although divorce is a major life stressor, many people eventually recover, adapt, and even grow through the experience (Amato, 2010; Bonanno, 2004; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). However, healing does not happen simply because time passes. It requires compassionate accompaniment, emotional honesty, practical support, and a framework that is both culturally relevant and spiritually grounded. In my own work with divorced individuals, I have found that people do not need shallow advice to "move on" quickly. They need safe spaces to grieve, wise guidance to rebuild, and steady reminders that their lives have not ended because their marriage has.

This article therefore argues that divorce should be approached as a whole-person crisis requiring emotional, spiritual, relational, and practical care. The task is not to deny the pain of divorce, nor to minimize the value of marriage, but to help those who have suffered marital breakdown walk through their pain with dignity, understanding, and hope.

Divorce in the Ghanaian Context

To understand post-divorce recovery in Ghana, one must first understand the cultural meaning of marriage. In Ghanaian society, marriage is not treated merely as a private arrangement between two adults. It is a socially recognized institution tied to family honour, maturity, respectability, identity, and communal legitimacy. Traditionally, marriage involves not only the couple, but also parents, elders, extended family members, and customary structures. Because of this, divorce is often interpreted not only as the breakdown of a relationship, but also as a disturbance within the wider social order.

In my counselling experience, one of the heaviest burdens divorced individuals carry is not always the separation itself, but the voices around it. Many do not suffer only because the marriage ended; they suffer because they feel watched, judged, discussed, and at times quietly rejected. Some have told me that after divorce, they no longer felt comfortable in church. Others said they dreaded family gatherings because every question, every silence, and every sideways comment reminded them that they were no longer viewed in the same way. I have especially seen how many women

carry the pain of being blamed more quickly and more harshly, even when the full story of the marriage is not known.

Historically, Ghanaian communities emphasized marital endurance. Elders and family heads often intervened in marital conflicts and encouraged reconciliation, patience, and perseverance. Divorce, while not absent, was generally treated as a last resort. Even where customary law permitted it, the social cost could be severe, especially for women (Nukunya, 2003; Oppong, 1974). Although Ghanaian family life has changed over time through urbanization, education, migration, and economic pressures, many of these attitudes remain strong (Takyi, 2001; Takyi & Gyimah, 2007).

Today, couples increasingly live away from traditional family networks, which has reduced some forms of communal oversight but also weakened support systems. Financial strain, emotional neglect, infidelity, clashing expectations, poor communication, and shifting gender roles have become more visible contributors to marital breakdown (Takyi, 2001; Osafo et al., 2021). Yet the stigma attached to divorce has not faded at the same rate as these social changes. This creates a painful contradiction. Many individuals remain in deeply unhappy or harmful marriages because they fear social judgment more than emotional suffering. Others leave such marriages only to find that, instead of relief, they are met with suspicion, pity, or blame.

Pastoral and counselling responses must therefore begin with cultural understanding. Divorce in Ghana is not experienced in isolation. It is shaped by family pressure, communal expectation, moral assumptions, and spiritual interpretation. In my work, I have found that healing often begins when a person is helped to separate their God-given worth from the shame imposed by culture. Many divorced persons need permission to see themselves not as failures, but as wounded human beings who deserve healing, dignity, and compassionate care.

The Emotional Aftermath of Divorce

The end of a marriage often feels like an emotional earthquake. Divorce disrupts attachment, daily routine, shared dreams, identity, and future expectation. In counselling, I have seen how quickly a person's inner world can become disorganized after divorce. Emotions that were once hidden beneath survival or endurance begin to surface: sadness, anger, fear, loneliness, guilt, humiliation, confusion, numbness, and sometimes even relief. These emotions do not come in a neat order. They rise and fall and often return unexpectedly.

Psychological literature consistently identifies divorce as one of the most stressful life events because it involves multiple losses at once, including companionship, security, shared meaning, and social belonging (Holmes & Rahe, 1967; Amato, 2010). Bowlby's attachment theory helps explain why divorce can feel so destabilizing: when a deep emotional bond is broken, the individual often reacts with grief, protest, disorientation, and emotional pain similar to bereavement (Bowlby, 1980). Grief work and emotionally safe support are therefore essential to healing (Cohen & Wills, 1985; Worden, 2018).

What I have repeatedly observed in pastoral counselling is that many divorced people are not given enough room to grieve honestly. Some are told to be strong. Some are told to pray harder. Some are told not to dwell on the past. Some feel they must present themselves as spiritually stable when,

in truth, they are emotionally shattered. In Ghanaian settings, men are especially pressured to hide pain, while women are often expected to carry sorrow quietly in order to preserve dignity. In church spaces, people may fear that emotional honesty will be interpreted as a lack of faith. This can delay healing and deepen emotional isolation.

Healing begins when individuals are given permission to tell the truth about what they feel. One of the most important things I have tried to offer divorced persons in counselling is a safe place where they do not have to perform strength. They can cry without shame. They can speak of anger without being condemned. They can confess confusion without being made to feel spiritually inferior. In many cases, the first step toward healing is simply allowing the person to say, “This has hurt me deeply.”

From a pastoral perspective, emotional healing also involves helping people reject false interpretations of their suffering. Pain does not mean God has abandoned them. Tears do not mean faith has failed. Grief after divorce is not weakness. It is a human response to loss. Compassionate pastoral care helps people understand that their emotions need guidance, not suppression. Over time, when pain is named honestly and held safely, emotional chaos can begin to give way to understanding, healing, and renewed inner stability.

Rediscovering Identity Beyond Marital Status

One of the deepest wounds of divorce is the disruption of identity. Many people build their daily lives and sense of self around marriage. They know themselves as husband, wife, partner, provider, companion, parent, or future parent. When the marriage ends, they not only lose the relationship; they may also lose the role through which they understood themselves.

In my counselling work, I have often heard divorced persons ask questions such as, “Who am I now?” “What do I have left?” and “What is my value if I am no longer married?” These are not shallow questions. They arise from real disorientation. In Ghana, where marriage is closely connected to social respectability and adulthood, divorce can feel like more than loss; it can feel like erasure.

Identity theory helps explain why this happens. When a major social role is lost, people often struggle to rebuild their sense of self and belonging (Burke & Stets, 2009; Thoits, 1991). For many divorced persons, the work of healing includes rediscovering that they are more than the marriage they lost. They remain whole human beings with gifts, purpose, character, faith, and future. Research also suggests that individuals who reconstruct identity after divorce often show better long-term adjustment (Amato, 2010; Hetherington & Kelly, 2002).

In pastoral counselling, this rebuilding must be approached gently. A divorced person does not need hurried slogans or pressure to reinvent themselves overnight. They need patient help separating their worth from their wound. I have found that many begin to heal when they slowly reconnect with parts of themselves that had been buried under pain, conflict, duty, or silence. Some rediscover their voice. Some return to neglected skills or interests. Some gain the confidence to pursue education, work, service, or ministry again. Some begin, for the first time, to see themselves as persons loved by God, not simply as persons defined by marital success or failure.

The healing of identity is one of the most sacred parts of post-divorce recovery. It is the slow movement from “I am broken” to “I have been wounded, but I am still a person of worth.” In faith-based care, this often includes helping individuals anchor identity not in marital status, but in the deeper truth that their lives still carry value, dignity, and purpose before God.

Financial Recovery and the Rebuilding of Stability

Divorce often brings financial upheaval alongside emotional pain. In Ghana, where traditional roles may leave one spouse more economically dependent than the other, the financial consequences can be severe. Many women, in particular, find themselves suddenly vulnerable after divorce due to interrupted careers, limited savings, or long-term dependency. Men too may experience significant strain through housing disruption, parental responsibilities, and the collapse of a financial structure that once supported a family unit.

In my counselling experience, financial instability can quietly deepen emotional suffering. Some divorced persons do not only grieve the marriage; they also fear rent, school fees, food, transportation, and the shame of having to start over economically. It is difficult to heal emotionally when daily survival is uncertain. For this reason, financial recovery must not be treated as secondary. It is part of the healing process.

Research shows that financial stress worsens post-divorce adjustment and contributes to anxiety, helplessness, and prolonged distress (Dew et al., 2012; Sbarra et al., 2014). Yet practical rebuilding can restore dignity and agency. When a divorced person begins to work again, learn new skills, plan realistically, or regain financial control, emotional recovery is often strengthened by renewed self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997).

Pastors and counsellors should not neglect this practical dimension. Too often, support after divorce focuses only on prayer or emotional comfort while ignoring the economic realities that shape recovery. Compassionate care must include realistic planning, guidance, and connection to practical resources. In my own work, I have found that even simple steps toward financial stability can become powerful acts of restoration. Helping a person rebuild financially is not merely assisting their wallet; it is helping restore their agency and dignity.

Forgiveness, Letting Go, and Emotional Freedom

Forgiveness is one of the hardest parts of post-divorce healing. Many people carry deep anger after divorce. Some feel betrayed. Some feel humiliated. Some are bitter toward a former spouse. Others are angry with themselves for what they tolerated, missed, or did not do differently. Some also feel wounded by relatives, church members, or friends who judged them when they were already hurting.

In counselling, I have learned that forgiveness cannot be forced. It must be approached with tenderness, truth, and patience. A wounded person should never be pressured into premature forgiveness in a way that silences pain or protects injustice. Forgiveness is not denial. It is not pretending that betrayal did not wound deeply. It is not the same as immediate reconciliation. Rather, it is the gradual release of emotional bondage to the injury.

Psychological studies show that prolonged resentment is associated with poorer mental health outcomes, including anxiety, depression, and emotional rigidity (Enright & Fitzgibbons, 2015; Worthington, 2006). What I have seen pastorally is that bitterness often keeps people tied to the very wound from which they want to escape. It keeps the injury alive inside them. Forgiveness, by contrast, begins to loosen the past's grip on the present.

Self-forgiveness is equally important. Many divorced persons speak to themselves more harshly than anyone else ever could. They replay the signs they missed, the choices they regret, the words they wish they had spoken, and the years they feel they wasted. Without self-forgiveness, shame becomes a prison.

In Ghanaian communal life, forgiveness is even more complex because divorce often becomes a story repeated by others. Healing may therefore require not only forgiving a former spouse, but also releasing the internalized voices of gossip, blame, and public shame. Emotional boundaries become essential. From a pastoral perspective, forgiveness must be presented not as a burden placed on the wounded, but as a pathway toward freedom, peace, and the recovery of one's future.

Faith, Spiritual Struggle, and Renewed Hope

In Ghana, faith plays a central role in how many people understand pain, recovery, and purpose. Because of this, divorce often shakes not only emotional stability but also spiritual confidence. In counselling, I have heard painful questions from divorced persons: "Where was God in all this?" "Did I fail spiritually?" "Can God still use me?" and "Do I still belong in the church?" These questions are not signs of rebellion. They are often the cry of a wounded heart trying to make sense of suffering.

Research in the psychology of religion shows that major life disruptions often produce both spiritual questioning and opportunities for spiritual growth (Pargament, 1997, 2011). Positive religious coping, pastoral support, and spiritually integrated care can strengthen resilience and improve well-being during suffering (Koenig, 2012; Pargament, 2011). In pastoral care, I have found that the direction a person takes often depends on the kind of spiritual support they receive. If they are met with judgment, exclusion, or careless theology, their wound deepens. If they are met with truth, grace, and patient guidance, faith can become one of the strongest pillars of healing.

Many divorced persons do not need to be lectured first; they need to be held pastorally. They need to be reminded that pain does not cancel God's presence and that marital breakdown does not automatically erase calling, worth, or usefulness. Over time, I have seen many who passed through divorce emerge with a more honest and mature faith. Their suffering stripped away religious performance and brought them into a deeper dependence on God. Some became more compassionate. Some grew in humility. Some found that their pain enlarged their ministry to others.

Faith communities must therefore be careful in how they respond to divorced persons. The task is not to weaken biblical convictions about marriage, but to ensure that wounded people encounter the compassion of Christ rather than the harshness of stigma. When churches become places of

healing instead of suspicion, divorce no longer has the power to push people away from God. Instead, it can become a place where grace is known more deeply.

Moving Forward: Resilience, Purpose, and New Beginnings

Moving forward after divorce does not mean forgetting the past. It means learning how to carry one's story without being controlled by it. Resilience is not pretending that nothing happened. It is the gradual recovery of stability, hope, and direction.

In my work with divorced persons, I have seen that healing becomes stronger when people begin to ask not only, "What have I lost?" but also, "Who am I becoming now?" This shift is important. It does not deny pain. It simply refuses to let pain be the only voice. Positive psychology identifies hope, purpose, self-efficacy, and supportive relationships as key ingredients in post-crisis growth (Bandura, 1997; Seligman, 2011; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004), and this aligns closely with what I have seen in practice.

Some people move forward through work, service, parenting, study, ministry, or rediscovered gifts. Some may eventually be ready for a new relationship, but readiness matters more than speed. Readiness for a new chapter requires more than time; it requires emotional processing, self-awareness, and healthier boundaries (Sbarra & Emery, 2005). In counselling, I have often had to remind people that loneliness should not decide their next chapter. Emotional healing, boundaries, self-awareness, and patience remain essential if the future is to be healthier than the past.

In the Ghanaian context, moving forward also means redefining success. A person's life should not be measured only by remarriage. Fulfilment may take different forms. What matters is restored peace, emotional maturity, practical stability, and renewed purpose. A new beginning after divorce is not sentimental language. It is a hard-won reality. It does not erase what happened. It simply means that pain does not have the final word.

Implications for Pastors, Counsellors, and Faith Communities

This discussion carries important implications for those who care for divorced persons in Ghana. Divorce must be treated as a whole-person crisis, not merely as a moral concern. Emotional, spiritual, financial, relational, and social dimensions are all involved (Amato, 2010; Dew et al., 2012; Koenig, 2012). Support must be culturally aware. It must understand the pressures of family honour, community expectations, and church-based stigma. Care must also be restorative rather than condemning. In Ghana especially, support must take seriously the pressures of family honour, communal expectation, and gendered stigma (Osafo et al., 2021; Takyi, 2001; Takyi & Gyimah, 2007).

Pastors should create safe spaces where divorced individuals can speak honestly without fear of rejection. Counsellors should integrate emotional healing with practical rebuilding and cultural sensitivity. Churches should resist treating divorced persons as second-class believers. Families should learn that support is not the denial of pain, but the willingness to remain present within it.

From my pastoral counselling experience, I have come to believe strongly that those who have gone through divorce do not first need arguments. They need understanding. They need wise listening. They need patient accompaniment. They need help carrying pain without being buried by it. Where faith communities respond with compassion, recovery becomes more possible. Where they respond with shame, healing is delayed.

Conclusion

Divorce is painful, disorienting, and often deeply lonely. In the Ghanaian context, that pain is made heavier by social stigma, family pressure, and spiritual misunderstanding. Yet my experience as a pastoral counsellor has convinced me that divorce does not have to define the rest of a person's life.

I have seen people who thought they would never smile again begin to heal. I have watched wounded men rediscover emotional honesty. I have seen women rebuild confidence after seasons of rejection and humiliation. I have witnessed people recover their faith, regain stability, restore dignity, and move toward the future with quiet strength. Their journeys have reminded me again and again that divorce may be an ending, but it need not be the end.

Recovery after divorce involves honest grief, rebuilding identity, restoring stability, learning forgiveness, deepening spiritual resilience, and embracing a future marked by dignity and purpose. It is not quick. It is not easy. But it is possible.

For pastors, counsellors, churches, and families in Ghana, the call is clear. Those who have experienced divorce do not need more shame. They need understanding. They need wise and compassionate support. They need room to grieve and courage to rebuild. Above all, they need to be reminded that healing, growth, and resilience are still possible.

References

- Amato, P. R. (2010). Research on divorce: Continuing trends and new developments. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 72(3), 650–666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2010.00723.x>
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
- Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, trauma, and human resilience. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.20>
- Bowlby, J. (1980). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 3. Loss: Sadness and depression*. Basic Books.
- Burke, P. J., & Stets, J. E. (2009). *Identity theory*. Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 98(2), 310–357. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.310>

Dew, J., Britt, S., & Huston, S. (2012). Examining the relationship between financial issues and divorce. *Family Relations*, 61(4), 615–628. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2012.00715.x>

Enright, R. D., & Fitzgibbons, R. P. (2015). *Forgiveness therapy* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.

Hetherington, E. M., & Kelly, J. (2002). *For better or for worse: Divorce reconsidered*. W. W. Norton.

Holmes, T. H., & Rahe, R. H. (1967). The social readjustment rating scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 11(2), 213–218. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(67\)90010-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(67)90010-4)

Koenig, H. G. (2012). Religion, spirituality, and health: The research and clinical implications. *ISRN Psychiatry*, 2012, Article 278730. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/278730>

Nukunya, G. K. (2003). *Tradition and change in Ghana: An introduction to sociology* (2nd ed.). Ghana Universities Press.

Osafo, J., Oppong Asante, K., Ampomah, C. A., & Osei-Tutu, A. (2021). Factors contributing to divorce in Ghana: An exploratory analysis of evidence from court suits. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage*, 62(2), 126–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10502556.2021.1871839>

Oppong, C. (1974). *Marriage among a matrilineal elite: A family study of Ghanaian senior civil servants*. Cambridge University Press.

Pargament, K. I. (1997). *The psychology of religion and coping: Theory, research, practice*. Guilford Press.

Pargament, K. I. (2011). *Spiritually integrated psychotherapy: Understanding and addressing the sacred*. Guilford Press.

Sbarra, D. A., & Emery, R. E. (2005). The emotional sequelae of nonmarital relationship dissolution: Analysis of change and intraindividual variability over time. *Personal Relationships*, 12(2), 213–232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1350-4126.2005.00112.x>

Sbarra, D. A., Law, R. W., & Portley, R. M. (2014). Divorce and health: Current trends and future directions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9(3), 227–238.

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.

Takyi, B. K. (2001). Marital instability in an African society: Exploring the factors that influence divorce processes in Ghana. *Sociological Focus*, 34(1), 77–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380237.2001.10571184>

Takyi, B. K., & Gyimah, S. O. (2007). Matrilineal family ties and marital dissolution in Ghana. *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(5), 682–705. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X070280050401>

Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(1), 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01

Thoits, P. A. (1991). On merging identity theory and stress research. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 54(2), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2786929>

Worden, J. W. (2018). *Grief counseling and grief therapy: A handbook for the mental health practitioner* (5th ed.). Springer.

Worthington, E. L. (2006). *Forgiveness and reconciliation: Theory and application*. Routledge.